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ABSTRACT

A recent speech modulation model represents each resonance (formant) as an AM–FM signal. Resonances are demodulated into instantaneous amplitude and frequency signals using the energy separation algorithm. We present three applications of these ideas (1) a multi-band parallel demodulation formant tracking algorithm, (2) an AM–FM vocoder which codes the amplitude and frequency components of each formant band, and (3) the energy spectrum which yields a non-parametric smooth spectral envelope.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the importance of modulations in speech resonances has come to the attention of the speech community. Motivated by several nonlinear and time-varying phenomena during speech production Maragos, Quatieri and Kaiser [5] proposed an AM–FM modulation model that represents a single speech resonance \( R(t) \) as an AM–FM signal

\[
R(t) = a(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t + \int_0^t q(r) dr) + \theta
\]

where \( f_c \) is the center value of the formant frequency, \( q(t) \) is the frequency modulating signal, and \( a(t) \) is the time-varying amplitude. The instantaneous formant frequency signal is \( f_i(t) = f_c + q(t) \). Finally, the speech signal \( S(t) \) is modeled as the sum \( S(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} R_k(t) \) of N such AM–FM signals, one for each formant.

The energy separation algorithm (ESA) was developed in [5] to demodulate a speech resonance \( R(t) \) into amplitude envelope \( |a(t)| \) and instantaneous frequency \( f_i(t) \) signals. The ESA is based on an energy-tracking operator introduced by Teager and Kaiser [4], which tracks the energy of the source producing an oscillation signal \( s(t) \) and is defined as

\[
\Psi[s(t)] = |\dot{s}(t)|^2 - s(t)\ddot{s}(t)
\]


2. FORMANT TRACKING

In [3] an iterative ESA scheme is used for formant tracking. Here, we propose a multi-band parallel demodulation algorithm. The speech signal is filtered through a bank of Gabor band-pass filters with fixed center frequencies and bandwidths. The Gabor filters are uniformly spaced in frequency and have constant bandwidth. Next, the amplitude envelope \( |a(t)| \) and instantaneous frequency \( f_i(t) \) are estimated for each filtered signal. Short-time frequency \( F(t, f) \) and bandwidth \( B(t, f) \) estimates are obtained from the instantaneous amplitude and frequency signals, for each speech frame located around time \( t \) and for each Gabor filter of center frequency \( f \). The time-frequency distributions thus obtained have time resolution equal to the step (shift) of the short-time window (typically 10 msec) and frequency resolution equal to the center frequency difference of two adjacent filters (typically 50 Hz). \( F \) and \( B \) are the features used for raw formant estimation and formant tracking.

To demodulate the filtered signals into their amplitude envelope \( |a(t)| \) and instantaneous frequency \( f_i(t) \) components one may use two alternative algorithms: the energy separation algorithm (ESA) or the Hilbert transform demodulation (HTD). The ESA is simpler,
computationally more efficient and has better time resolution, but its performance deteriorates as the center frequency of the Gabor filter approaches the pitch frequency. In that case we have found that the HTD (implemented via FFT) produces smoother estimates, but at a higher computational complexity. The two approaches are compared in [7].

Simple short-time estimates \(F_1\) and \(B_1\) for the frequency \(F\) and bandwidth \(B\) of a formant candidate, respectively, are the frequency and the standard deviation of the instantaneous frequency signal, i.e.,

\[
F_1(t_0, f) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} f(t) \, dt
\]

\[
[B_1(t_0, f)]^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \left( f(t) - F_1(t_0, f) \right)^2 \, dt
\]

where \(t_0\) and \(T\) are the start and duration of the analysis frame, respectively, and \(f\) the Gabor filter center frequency. Alternative estimates can be found from the 1st and 2nd moments of \(f(t)\) using the square amplitude as weight density [1]

\[
F_2(t_0, f) = \frac{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} f(t) a(t)^2 \, dt}{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} a(t)^2 \, dt}
\]

\[
[B_2(t_0, f)]^2 = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \left[ \frac{\langle a(t)^2 \rangle}{\langle a(t)^2 \rangle} \right]^2 + \left( f(t) - F_2 \right)^2 a(t)^2 \, dt
\]

The estimates \(F_1, B_1\) are conceptually simple and easy to compute, while \(F_2, B_2\) (which we use henceforth) are more robust (this property is important in a iterative scheme [3, 7]). If only frequency estimates \(F(t, f)\) are needed, the ESA is used for computationally efficient demodulation. Smoother bandwidth estimates \(B(t, f)\) for frequencies \(f\) below 1 kHz have been obtained via the HTD.

In Fig. 1, we plot the short-time frequency estimate \(F_2(t, f)\) for all bands vs. time. Note the dense concentration of estimates around the frequency tracks. The plot density plays the role that the Fourier magnitude plays in a speech spectrogram. In Fig. 2, we show frequency \(F_2(f)\) and bandwidth \(B_2(f)\) estimates for a single analysis frame. We have observed that bandwidth \(B_2\) minima consistently indicate the presence of formants.

In order to determine robust raw formant estimates for a frame of speech we search for points where \(F_2(f)\) and the Gabor filter center frequency \(f\) are equal (i.e., \(F_2(f) = f\), or in Fig. 2 the points where the solid line meets the dotted one) and \(dF_2(f)/df < 0\). In addition, there are cases where a weak formant is 'shadowed' by a strong neighboring one; then \(F_2(f)\) approaches the line \(f\) without reaching it. Thus, we also search for points where \(F_2(f) - f\) has local maxima and \(F_2(f) < f\). These points are also considered formant estimates if the difference \(f - F_2(f)\) is less than a threshold (typically 50 Hz). Finally, we improve the accuracy of the formant estimates by linear interpolation.

In Fig. 3(a), we display the raw formant estimates for the sentence of Fig. 1. 3-point binomial smoothing is performed on \(F_2(t, f)\) in the time domain before the raw formant estimates are computed. In Fig. 3(b) the formant tracks (frequency and bandwidth) are shown. The decision algorithm used is similar to LPC-based formant tracking algorithms, with special care taken for nasals sounds (a 'nasal formant' between F1-F2 is allowed to be born and to die). Formant bandwidths are obtained from \(B_2\).

The multi-band parallel demodulation formant tracking algorithm has the attractive features of being con-
ceptually simple and easy to implement. It behaves well in the presence of nasalization (it tracks an extra 'nasal formant'). Finally, it provides realistic formant bandwidth estimates as opposed to most LPC-based methods.

3. AM–FM MODULATION VOCODER

The AM–FM modulation vocoder (see Fig. 4(b)) extracts three or four time-varying formant bands from the spectrum by filtering the speech signal along the formant tracks. The formant tracks are obtained from the parallel ESA algorithm described above. The filtering is done by a bank of Gabor filters with center frequencies that adaptively follow the formant tracks. The bandwidth of each filter is determined from the formant bandwidth and/or the positions of the neighboring formants.

Next, the formant bands are demodulated in amplitude envelope and instantaneous frequency using the ESA (note: for the first formant either the HTD should be used or the ESA estimates should be median filtered for more smoothness). The information signals \( \{a(t), f_i(t)\} \) contain, apart from the pitch structure, a considerable amount of modulation; if the modulations are removed, the synthesized speech quality deteriorates considerably. We have found that, in order to preserve the modulation patterns, a bandwidth of 400 Hz is adequate. In Fig. 4(a) we display typical decimated information signals (amplitude and frequency). Note the modulation patterns present.

To synthesize the signal, the phase is obtained as the running integral of the instantaneous frequency, the formant bands are synthesized from the amplitude and phase signals, and added together.

Multi-pulse LPC has been used to code the decimated amplitude and frequency signals. The analysis frame length is 32 samples or 40 msec (the original sampling rate is 16 kHz). The LPC order is 4; since all amplitudes signals look similar, we compute one set of LPC coefficients for all formant amplitudes. Also, the instantaneous frequency for the 3rd and 4th formant is further decimated, since phase information is perceptually less important for high formants than for low ones. We use a total of 42 pulses per frame, 4 bits (\( \mu \)-law) to code the pulse amplitudes, and run-length coding for the pulse positions. This amounts to a bit rate of 8.5 Kbits/sec for three formant bands or 10 Kbits/sec for four formants bands. The quality of the AM–FM synthesized speech is good. After quantization, though, synthesized speech has a 'harsh' or 'nasalized' quality. More research is under way to resolve this issue and improve the efficiency of the coder.

Overall, the AM–FM vocoder can provide more natural sounding speech by modeling the perceptually important speech formant modulations.
4. ENERGY SPECTRUM

An energy time-frequency representation $E(t, f)$ is obtained by filtering the original speech signal through a bank of (uniformly spaced, constant bandwidth) Gabor band-pass filters with center frequency $f$, applying the energy operator on each filter output, and computing the short-time average energy around time $t$. For a fixed time $t_0$, we define $E(t_0, f)$ to be the energy spectrum $E_S(f)$ of the corresponding speech frame. The parameters of the energy spectrum are the bandwidth of the Gabor filter and the length of the short-time averaging window. As shown in Fig. 5, the energy spectrum can provide a non-parametric smooth spectral envelope. Peak-picking of the energy spectrum yields average location of formants.

The energy spectrum yields the mean physical energy required to produce an oscillation, proportional both to amplitude and frequency squared. In contrast, the power spectrum yields only the mean square amplitude of an oscillation. Thus, the energy spectrum offers the means to observe the energy signature (in time) of each formant source and the relations among them in an analysis frame. Other interesting properties of the energy spectrum are currently being investigated.

5. DISCUSSION

In the analysis stage of the multi-band demodulation formant tracking algorithm, one can alternatively use filters with a constant logarithmic spacing (constant Q Gabor wavelets [2]), with a small additional computational cost. This would improve the raw formant estimates, since the formant bandwidths are typically larger for higher formants. Logarithmic spacing is also compatible with the formant frequency perceptual resolution (limens) of the ear. Another option is to use the multi-band ESA for spectral zero tracking. For example, in Fig. 1, zeros manifest themselves as areas of low plot density. Finally, one may compute the $F_2$ and $B_2$ estimates in the frequency domain (spectral moment computation via FFT); the relative complexity and efficiency are currently under investigation.

The choice of the appropriate band-pass filter in the analysis stage of the vocoder is an issue that needs further investigation. Filters with a flatter frequency response and still smooth cutoff may produce somewhat better results. A serious degradation of the vocoders speech quality is introduced by the fact that spectral valleys are not modeled. We are currently investigating efficient ways of modeling the spectral valleys (especially for voiced sounds). Finally, more research is under way for coding efficiently the amplitude and frequency signals. It is clear that the modulation patterns in the amplitude are similar for all formants. In order to further reduce the bit rate of the coder, the correlation among formants must be fully exploited.

The modulation model and the energy/ESA-based algorithms have a wide range of applications in speech processing. In particular, they show the importance of formant modulations in an analysis/feature extraction system and perceptually in an analysis/synthesis one. Overall, the results presented in this paper are promising and suggest the modulation model and the demodulation algorithms as a useful alternative modeling/analysis approach to speech processing.
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