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ABSTRACT
Automatic classification of a speaker’s affective state is one of the major challenges in signal processing community, since it can improve Human-Computer interaction and give insights into the nature of emotions from psychology perspective. The amplitude and frequency control of sound production influences strongly the affective voice content. In this paper, we take advantage of the inherent speech modulations and propose the use of instant amplitude- and frequency-derived features for efficient emotion recognition. Our results indicate that these features can further increase the performance of the widely-used spectral-prosodic information, achieving improvements on two emotional databases, the Berlin Database of Emotional Speech and the recently collected Athens Emotional States Inventory.

Index Terms— Emotion classification, AM-FM features, speech analysis, human-computer interaction

1. INTRODUCTION
Automatic emotion recognition has recently gained a lot of interest in the signal processing field. It focuses on the development of techniques to automatically recognize human emotional states aiming at making the human-computer interaction more natural and giving further insights into emotional expression [1].

Research efforts in this field have focused on both feature extraction and classification. Low-level contours of prosody, voice quality and articulatory information have been used to extract high-level functionalities that describe the emotional content of a sentence [2]. Diverse time scales of frame- and turn-level have been also combined to recognize emotions from speech [3]. Subsequent layers of binary classifiers were further fused in a hierarchical framework for emotion classification [4] and emotion profiles were introduced in order to identify emotional properties of ambiguous utterances [5]. In the context of continuous tracking of affective states, a Gaussian Mixture Model-based approach [6] and a long short-term memory neural network [7, 8] have also been proposed.

Although speech emotion recognition has mainly focused on the extraction of prosodic and spectral features [3, 9], other studies have attempted to use the modulation properties of speech signals [10, 11] to recognize human affective states. Our approach differs from [11], in that we use multiple frequency bands to compute amplitude and frequency modulations based on the Energy Separation Algorithm (ESA) [12]. We also extend the ideas proposed in [10] for stress recognition including multiple AM-FM metrics, such as the instantaneous amplitude and frequency mean and standard deviation.

In this paper we introduce the use of short term speech modulations [12], referred here as “Micro-Modulations”. A speech signal can be modeled as a sum of AM-FM resonances of the type:

\[ r_i(t) = \alpha_i(t) \cos \left( 2\pi \int_0^t f_i(\tau)d\tau \right) \]

each with instantaneous amplitude \( \alpha(t) \) and instantaneous frequency \( f(t) \) [12]. To compute these AM-FM signals the Gabor ESA [13] is used. Similar modulation-inspired features were shown to benefit speech recognition as well [14].

Our proposed modulation features are compared with prosodic-spectral descriptors commonly used in these tasks within different classification frameworks. We validate our approach with two acted emotional databases: Berlin Database of Emotional Speech (EmoDB) [15] and Athens Emotional States Inventory (AESI). The latter is a new database created under the collaboration of National Technical University and Mental Health Care Unit of Athens for the purposes of this paper. Our experiments show that the combination of AM-FM and spectral features can provide accuracies up to 79.8% and 67.4% for the two considered databases respectively giving relative improvement of 10.7% and 12% upon the baseline. Although better results have been reported in EmoDB [3, 9, 16], the much lower dimensionality of AM-FM features compared to the ones described in literature [3, 16] and the fact that their evaluation was performed with a more strict experimental setup [9, 16] suggest that the proposed features consist a viable front-end framework for emotion recognition.

2. MODULATION FEATURES FOR EMOTION
In this section the proposed feature extraction scheme is detailed (Fig. 1). Let \( x[k] \) be the original speech signal and \( x_i[k] \) be the signal corresponding to the \( i^{th} \) frequency band \( (k = 1, \ldots, K) \), where \( K \) is the frame length in samples.
We also computed the weighted mean $F_m$ computed with ESA over each frame of 25ms length (corresponding to the sampling period, applying also to higher order derivatives.)

To represent the time evolution of AM-FM signals, we will refer to the first derivative of IAM, IAA, AE and F, which will be referred as IAM-Der, IAA-Der, AE-Der and F-Der respectively. Second-order time derivatives were proven to be too noisy and were not included.

Although there are many possible AM-FM feature combinations, we indicatively used the following:

- Mod1: IAM + IAM-Der + IAS + F + F-Der + B^2
- Mod2: IAA + IAA-Der + IAS + F + F-Der + B^2
- Mod3: AE + AE-Der + IAS + F + F-Der + B^2

In an attempt to capture global trends, we computed the mean and standard deviation of the above features over each emotional sentence. This results in 12 features per band for each of the 4-24 frequency bands.

The described features contain non-linear acoustic information due to the modulations. We combine these with the mean and standard deviation, computed over each sentence, of the first 13 MFCCs and their first-order derivatives (noted as Mod1+MFCC, Mod2+MFCC, Mod3+MFCC), in order to capture the lineal source-filter acoustic information. This results in a feature array of 100-340 dimensions depending on the number of frequency bands.

There is evidence from auditory physiology, psychoacoustics and speech perception, that signal modulations are related to sound perception [18]. Concerning the analysis of speech emotional content, it was found that amplitude modulation substantially enhances the information transmitted in human speech [19]. We indicatively examined the influence of emotion to instant amplitude and for the sake of simplicity we selected four emotions that are referred as bipolar pairs according to Plutchik’s wheel representation [20]: anger and fear, joy and sadness. Low activated emotions, such as fear and sadness, tend to have stronger amplitude modulations in low frequencies, whereas anger and joy depict strong amplitude content through the whole frequency spectrum, as demonstrated in our data. The instant amplitude mean over time and modulation frequencies for an given sentence in EmoDB uttered from the same speaker is shown in Fig. 2.
than a percentile threshold $f_{perc}$ of the maximum FDR of the original feature set, the corresponding feature was omitted.

A decorrelation scheme was used to avoid redundant information, mainly because of the overlap in the successive frequency bands. If the Pearson’s correlation coefficient for a given pair of features is higher than a threshold value $r_{thr}$, we included only the feature with higher FDR.

Finally, we performed LDA over the feature set from decorrelation to further increase class separation. Since we have 7 and 5 classes in EmoDB and AESI respectively and LDA results in one fewer dimension than the total classes [21], we get a final 6- and 4-dimensional feature vector per dataset.

The same selected features were fed to two classifiers, i.e. Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) and Support Vector Machines (SVMs). GMMs had a full covariance matrix and were implemented with HTK [22]. We used binary SVMs with linear kernel trained with SVM-light [23] for each pair of classes and took the final decision based on majority voting for the class chosen by the maximal number of SVMs.

### 4. EXPERIMENTS

#### 4.1. Data Description

Since the effect of emotion on the AM-FM features has not been extensively studied, we used two databases with emotional sentences of acted speech in order to keep our experiments as controlled as possible.

EmoDB [15] includes 535 utterances from seven basic emotions (anger, boredom, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, neutral). Ten native German professional actors expressed ten different sentences each with all emotions. 65 sentences were omitted due to annotator disagreement.

AESI contains 696 utterances of five basic emotions (anger, joy, sadness, fear, neutral) from 20 native Greek students. The content of each sentence reflects the corresponding emotion and was validated based on a systematic survey with 40 people (separate from the ones participating in the recording) who were asked to rate each sentence based on the 5 predetermined emotional states. The recordings were performed in a sound-proof room using the same setup and audiovisual equipment with 44.1kHz sampling rate. Each student expressed 7 sentences per emotion, resulting in balanced number of samples across classes (∼ 140 sentences/ emotion). In total, 4 utterances were omitted by the speakers by accident. The originality of the database occurs in the fact that it is of the few systematic efforts to record emotional sentences in greek with high quality audiovisual equipment. Previous studies focused on recording isolated greek emotional words [24] or more unstructured data [25].

#### 4.2. Experimental details

Our classification scheme included a leave-one-speaker-out cross-validation. In each fold one speaker was used for test, one for development (dev) and the rest for train set. Dev-set was used for tuning feature selection and classifier parameters to avoid overfitting. The values tested were $f_{perc} = 0.05, \ldots , 0.5$ (with step 0.05) for the FDR percentile and $r_{thr} = 0.1, \ldots , 0.9$ (with step 0.1) for the Pearson correlation threshold. The system had to choose between 1 or 2 Gaussian mixtures for GMM and among $C \in \{0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10\}$ trade-off parameter values for SVM. All feature transformations were derived based on the train data and then applied on the dev and test set for each fold.

The baseline against which we compared our proposed modulation features consists of the first 13 Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCCs), their first-order derivatives and the fundamental frequency of speech, resulting in 27 features. We computed their mean and standard deviation over the whole sentence, consisting an array of 54 parameters. We will refer to this as “MFCC+Prosody”. Despite its simplicity, this baseline is able to capture part of the spectral and prosodic information relevant for our task. Since it is much lower in dimensionality than other commonly used frameworks, such as descriptors extracted with the openSMILE toolkit [26], it is more comparable to our AM-FM approach. In future work we plan to assess the performance of AM-FM features with more complicated front-end systems.

The proposed modulation feature sets (Mod1, Mod2, Mod3), their combination with MFCCs (Mod1+MFCC, Mod2+MFCC, Mod3+MFCC) and the baseline (MFCC+Prosody) were evaluated with the two classifiers.

#### 4.3. Results

Our system performance is measured with the unweighted classification accuracy (UA), a less sensitive measure to unbalanced distribution of instances among classes defined as:

$$UA = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} C_n$$

where $N$ is the number of emotional classes, $C_n$ is the number of samples in class $n$ and $c_n$ is the number of correctly classified samples from class $n$.  

---

UAs of modulation features across all frequency bands, their combination with MFCCs and the MFCC+Prosody baseline are reported in Table 1. Significance of improvement is evaluated with a one-sided Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test [27] comparing the medians of classification accuracies over all cross-validation folds between proposed and baseline system.

Increasing the number of frequency bands yields in better accuracies, since we incorporate more detailed information of speech modulations. AM-FM features computed over many frequency bands provide lower results but comparable to the spectral-prosodic ones and improve performance upon baseline when combined with MFCCs. UAs reach up to 79.8% (Mod3+MFCC, 20 freq. bands, 292 features before selection) and 67.4% (Mod2+MFCC, 16 freq. bands, 244 features) in EmoDB and AESI respectively. Performance on EmoDB is higher, which could stem from AESI’s variable lexical content. AESI utterances were different for each emotion, which was not the case for EmoDB. Mod2 and Mod3 containing instant amplitude area and Autocorrelation Envelope (both derived after instant amplitude smoothing), tend to yield better results. Finally, GMMS give higher UAs than SVMs, which could be due to GMMS’ ability to better model the low dimensional feature space resulting from LDA.

Although better performance has been reported in EmoDB, the corresponding studies use more complex front-end frameworks or employ less strict experimental setup. Schuller et al. [28] achieve UAs up to 85.6% with 6552 features of prosodic and spectral supra-segmental information. Using a similar scheme (5967 features) and splitting two groups of speakers for the train and test set, unweighted precision and recall reach 76.1% and 83.6% in a 6-class classification task (omitting disgust) [29]. Cepstral low-level attributes integrated into sentence descriptors give weighted accuracies (biased on the number of class samples) up to 85% with feature dimensionality of 3809 [30] and 1054 [16]. The latter are reported with a stratified cross-validation, i.e. each fold contains the same proportion of class samples introducing speaker dependencies. Also, no dev-set was used and system parameters were optimized on the test set. It is worth mentioning that in [16], UA dropped from 83.1% to 72.6% with leave-one-speaker-out instead of stratified cross-validation. Finally, a different approach combining a Universal Background Model (UBM) with Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) adaptation resulted in 81.35% accuracy [31]. Despite the better reported results, these indicate that our proposed features, much lower in original dimensionality (at most 340), evaluated with no speaker dependencies and using a held-out set, are promising especially when combined with complementary front-end descriptors and/or more powerful classifiers.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We proposed a new feature extraction framework for emotion classification based on modulation features computed with ESA. The inherent existence of amplitude and frequency modulation in speech is informative for our task, since the combination of modulation-spectral features almost always achieves improvement in EmoDB and AESI over the spectral-prosodic baseline. Results suggest that multi-band filtering can capture emotional information and denser sampling of frequency bands increases classification accuracy.

Future work will investigate the performance of modulation features in other emotional databases with spontaneous conversational speech. We will also combine the modulation features with established frameworks in emotion recognition, such as descriptors derived with OpenSmile [26]. AESI contains also video recordings enabling audiovisual fusion.
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