MODEL-LEVEL DATA-DRIVEN SUB-UNITS FOR SIGNS IN VIDEOS OF CONTINUOUS SIGN LANGUAGE



/FOOTBALL/

Stavros Theodorakis, Vassilis Pitsikalis and Petros Maragos

/WITH/

School of ECE, National Technical University of Athens 15773, Greece



1. Sign Language - Motivation

□Visual patterns are formed by *hand shapes, manual* or general body *motion* and *facial expressions*.

 \square Word in spoken language \rightarrow Sign.

Desition, Movement, Hand Shape, Orientation, Facial.

□Phonological Sub-Units: no well-defined unit equivalent to the phoneme in speech.

□We focus on **automatic data-driven modeling of sub-units** without any linguistic – phonological information.

Goal: continuous Sign Language Recognition.

2. Outline-Contributions

□ Visual Front-End + Feature Extraction: Hands' centroid movementposition measurements: 2D location, 2D derivatives, velocity, dynamics .

Data-Driven Sub-Unit Construction

□State-level sign segmentation. □Dynamic (vs. Static) Specific Modeling → Structure.

Model-level Sub-Unit Construction: HMM clustering.





4. Segmentation-Dynamic/Static





6. Qualitative Results

Capture geometrical properties: Direction.

Sample Sub-Unit Clusters

SU12

Right hand centroid trajectories Cluster Index → Sub-Unit Index

8. Experimental Data Setup

□Continuous American Sign Language [9]: 843 utterances, 406 words, 4 signers, Uniform background. Sign level transcriptions; English Glosses; annotated start/end points.

□BU400 HQ, 6 videos, 648×484 frames, 60fps. Most frequent Glosses; cross-validate; train/test 60-40%

REALLY	56	SAY	31	BUT	25	ONE	24	ON	18	HERE	17
SEE	16	COP	13	LOOK	12	то	12	FRIEND	12	MOTHER	11
MANY	10	MAN	10	GET	9	GO	7	BETTER	7	BECAUSE	7
CIGARETTE	6	SOME	6	EXAMPLE	6	WEATHER	5	END	5	FORMERLY	5
FINISH	5	DEGREE	5	_80	- 5	SHOWER	5	KIND	5	WORK	5



References

 Stogard S. Rangmath, "Automatic sign language analysis: A survey and the future byord lexical meaning," Trans. Pattern And. Med. Intellity, ed. 27, os. 6, ep. 37–897, 2005.
K. Tomory, Language, cognition, and the brain: mingh from sign language research, Eribaum, 2002.
K. Tomory, Language, cognition, "in Genetic Conference on the conference on the language recognition," in Genetic Workshop, 2000, pp. 247–258.
K. Lindon and E. E. Johnson, "American sign language: The phonological base," Sign Language Studies, vol. 64, app. 163 N. E. Lidodi and E. E. Johnson, "American sign language: The phonological base," Sign Language Studies, vol. 64, app. 163 N. E. Lidodi and E. E. Johnson, "American sign language: The phonological base," Sign Language Studies, vol. 64, app. 163 N. E. Lidodi and K. E. Johnson, "American sign language: The phonological base," Sign Language Studies, vol. 64, app. 163 N. E. Lidodi and K. E. Johnson, "American Studies, and the studies and the studies of the studies of

Acknowledgments

This research work was supported by the EU under the research program Dictasig with grant FP7-ICT-3-231135. We also wish to thank Boston University and C. N for providing the BU400 video database.

For further information

Please contact. [sth, vpitsik, maragos]@cs.ntua.gr More information can be found at http://cvsp.cs.ntua.gr and http://c

